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#### Abstract

In this paper the Galilean, scaling and translational self-similarity conditions for the AKNS hierarchy are analysed geometrically in terms of the infinite-dimensional Grassmannian. The string equations of the one-matrix model correspond to the Gailean self-similarity condition for this hierarchy. We describe, in terms of the initial data for the zero-curvature 1 -form of the AKNS hierarchy, the moduli space of these self-similar solutions in the Sato Grassmannian. As a by-product we characterize the points in the Segal-Wilson Grassmannian corresponding to the Sachs rational solutions of the AKNS equation and to the Nakamura-Hirota rational solutions of the NLS equation.


## 1. Introduction

Matrix models have been extensively used as a non-perturbative formulation of string theory. The interplay of matrix models with different integrable systems is of great interest. The Hermitian one-matrix model partition function depends on the couplings as a solution of the Toda chain hierarchy, see for example [8]. This hierarchy can be understood as a composition of the Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur (AKNS) hierarchy and the Toda chain, giving the later auto-Bäcklund transformations of the former, see for example [12, 3, 14]. Besides, there is a constraint to be satisfied, the so-called string equation, that corresponds, as we shall show, to a Galilean self-similarity condition. In fact, the $N$-dimensional Hermitian matrix model is associated to the solution obtained after $N$ consecutive auto-Bäcklund transformations to the solution of the AKNS hierarchy which is a Galilean self-similar solution of the heat hierarchy (this is unique up to a normalization constant). In [4] the rôle of the AKNS hierarchy in the Toda chain is rediscovered and a discussion of the associated topological field theory is given.

Let us now present some facts about the AKNS hierarchy. In [2] this hierarchy was used implicitly to solve a number of equations by a multicomponent inverse scattering method or inverse spectral transform [1]. But the hierarchy appeared explicitly in [9] where it was extensively studied [7, 16]. In [6] the finite gap solutions were analysed and for the real version, the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) hierarchy, this was done in [19]. One can express these solutions in terms of theta functions for the corresponding hyperelliptic curve. In the papers [5, 23] a detailed account of the Grassmannian model, Baker and $\tau$-functions can be found.

[^0]In this paper we analyse the Sato Grassmannian geometry of the moduli space of solutions to the string equation of the Hermitian one-matrix model, and more generally of self-similar solutions under any of the local symmetries of the AKNS hierarchy. These are Galilean, scaling and translational transformations. We give a parametrization of this moduli space in terms of the initial condition for the zero-curvature 1 -form of the AKNS hierarchy. As a by-product we obtain the points in the Segal-Wilson Grassmannian corresponding to the weighted scaling self-similar rational solutions [20,15] of the AKNS hierarchy.

In the second section we introduce the AKNS and $\mathrm{NLS}^{ \pm}$hierarchies. We also present a zero-curvature type formulation of the string equations.

In the following section we consider the Birkhoff factorization problem for the AKNS hierarchy and its relation with the Grassmannian. There we formulate the two main results of the paper. The first one determines the stucture of the initial conditions for which the Birkhoff factorization problem implies self-similar solutions, and the second giving the structure of the set of points in the Grassmannian associated with solutions to the string equations. That is, we analyse the moduli space in the Grassmannian. Observe the similarity of these results to those in [11], we refer the reader to that paper when the proofs are omitted.

Finally, in section 4 we examine several examples. We consider the mixed Galilean and translational self-similar condition, which corresponds to Galilean self-similarity in appropriate shifted coordinates. We obtain points that do not belong to the Segal-Wilson Grassmannian but to the Sato Grassmannian and can be expressed in terms of Gaussian and Weber's parabolic cylinder functions. The scaling case with different weights is also considered in shifted coordinates. Now, there are some points that belong to the Segal-Wilson Grassmannian, they correspond to the rational solutions of [20] for the AKNS equation, and some of them reduce to the NLs ${ }^{+}$equation giving the rational solutions of [15]. The subspaces in the Sato Grassmannian can be expressed in terms of TricomiKummer's hypergeometric confuent functions that, in the mentioned rational case, are Laurent polynomials.

## 2. AKNS hierarchy and string equations

We begin this section with the definition of the integrable equations known as the AKNS hierarchy, which is a complexified version of the NLS hierarchy. It is defined in terms of a couple of scalar functions $p, q$ that depend on an infinite number of variables $t:=\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}$ which are local coordinates for the time manifold $\mathcal{T}$. In this convention we adopted $t_{1}$ to be the space coordinate, usually denoted by $x$, and $t_{n}$ with $n>1$ conesponds to a time variable.

Definition 2.1. The AKNS hierarchy for $p, q$ is the following collection of compatible equations

$$
\partial_{n} p=2 p_{n+1} \quad \partial_{n} q=-2 q_{n+1}
$$

where $n \geqslant 0, \partial_{n}:=\partial / \partial t_{n}$ and $p_{n}, q_{n}$ and $h_{n}$ are defined recursively by the relations
$p_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{1} p_{n-1}+p h_{n-1} \quad q_{n}=-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{1} q_{n-1}+q h_{n-1} \quad \partial_{1} h_{n}=p q_{n}-q p_{n} \quad n \geqslant 1$
with the initial data

$$
p_{0}=q_{0}=0 . \quad h_{0}=1
$$

From the recurrence relations one gets, for example,

$$
p_{1}=p \quad q_{1}=q \quad h_{1}=0
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lcc}
p_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \partial_{1} p & q_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{1} q \quad h_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} p q & \\
p_{3}=\frac{1}{4} \partial_{1}^{2} p-\frac{1}{2} p^{2} q \quad q_{3}=\frac{1}{4} \partial_{1}^{2} q-\frac{1}{2} p q^{2} & h_{3}=\frac{1}{4}\left(p \partial_{1} q-q \partial_{1} p\right) .
\end{array}
$$

The equations for $n=0$ flow are

$$
\partial_{0} p=2 p \quad \partial_{0} q=-2 q
$$

The $n=1$ flow is an identity. For $n=2$ the equations are

$$
2 \partial_{2} p=\partial_{1}^{2} p-2 p^{2} q \quad 2 \partial_{2} q=-\partial_{1}^{2} q+2 p q^{2}
$$

and for $n=3$ one has

$$
4 \partial_{3} p=\partial_{1}^{3} p-6 p q \partial_{1} p \quad 4 \partial_{3} q=\partial_{1}^{3} q-6 p q \partial_{1} q
$$

Notice that the real reduction $q=\mp p^{*}$ and $t_{n} \mapsto \mathrm{i}_{n}$ produces the $\mathrm{NL} \mathrm{s}^{ \pm}$hierarchy for which the $t_{2}$-flow is $2 \mathrm{i}_{2} p=-\partial_{1}^{2} p \pm 2|p|^{2} p$, the $\mathrm{NLS}^{ \pm}$equation, and the $t_{3}$-flow is $4 \partial_{3} p=-\partial_{1}^{3} p \pm 6|p|^{2} \partial_{1} p$.
Definition 2.2. The NLS ${ }^{ \pm}$hierarchy

$$
\mathrm{i} \partial_{n} p=2 p_{n+1}
$$

is defined in terms of the recursion relations

$$
p_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \partial_{1} p_{n-1}+p h_{n-1} \quad \partial_{1} h_{n}=\mp 2 \operatorname{Im} p p_{n}^{*}
$$

and $p_{0}=0, h_{0}=1$.
An essential feature of the AKNS hierarchy relies in its zero-curvature formulation [2, 9, 16]. If $\{E, H, F\}$ is the standard Weyl-Cartan basis for the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{C})$ of $2 \times 2$ complex, traceless matrices we define

$$
Q_{n}:=p_{n} E+h_{n} H+q_{n} F
$$

and denote by

$$
L_{n}(\lambda):=\sum_{m=0}^{n} \lambda^{m} Q_{n-m}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a complex spectral parameter. Introducing the differential 1 -form

$$
\chi=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} L_{n} \mathrm{~d} t_{n}
$$

one is allowed to formulate the AKNS hierarchy as the zero-curvature condition

$$
[\mathrm{d}-\chi, \mathrm{d}-\chi]=0
$$

where $d$ is the exterior derivative operator on the differential forms $\Lambda \mathcal{T}$.
For the $\mathrm{NLS}^{ \pm}$hierarchy one also has a zero-curvature formulation. Now the $Q_{n}=$ $p_{n} E+\mathrm{i} h_{n} H \mp p_{n}^{*} F$ are maps from $\mathcal{T}$ into the real Lie algebras $\mathfrak{s u}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{s u}(1,1)$ respectively.

Let us now describe the local symmetries of the hierarchy. First we have the shifts in the time variables, the infinite set of translational symmetries are isospectral symmetries of the hierarchy in the sense that they preserve the associated spectral problem. Let $\vartheta$ be

$$
\vartheta(t):=t+\theta
$$

the action of translations, where

$$
\boldsymbol{\theta}:=\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}
$$

are the shifts of the time variables.
Then it follows

Proposition 2.1. If ( $p, q$ ) is a solution to the AKNS hierarchy then so is ( $\vartheta^{*} p, \vartheta^{*} q$ ).
But there are also two local non-isospectral symmetries. One is the scaling symmetry, and the other is the Galilean symmetry. Next we define both of them.
Definition 2.3. The Galilean transformation $t \mapsto \gamma_{a}(t)$ is given by

$$
\gamma_{a}\left(t_{n}:=\sum_{m \geqslant 0}\binom{n+m}{m} a^{m} t_{n+m}\right.
$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$.
The scaling transformation $t \mapsto \zeta_{b}(t)$ is represented by the relations

$$
\varsigma_{b}(t)_{n}:=\mathrm{e}^{n b} t_{n}
$$

where $b \in \mathbb{C}$.
One can show that
Proposition 2.2. If ( $p, q$ ) is a solution of the AKNS hierarchy then so are ( $\gamma_{a}^{*} p, \gamma_{a}^{*} q$ ) and ( $\mathrm{e}^{b} \varsigma_{b}^{*} p, \mathrm{e}^{b} \varsigma_{b}^{*} q$ ).

The related fundamental vector fields, infinitesimal generators of the action of translation, Galilean and scaling transformations are given by

$$
\partial_{n}, n \geqslant 0 \quad \gamma=\sum_{n \geqslant 0}(n+1) t_{n+1} \partial_{n} \quad \varsigma=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} n t_{n} \partial_{n}
$$

respectively.
Consider the following vector field

$$
X:=\vartheta+a \gamma+b \varsigma
$$

with

$$
\vartheta=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \theta_{n} \partial_{n}
$$

defining a superposition of translations, Galilean and scaling transformations.
If $(p, q)$ is a solution of the AKNS hierarchy then there is a 1-parameter family of solutions ( $p_{\tau}, q_{\tau}$ ) generated by the vector field $X$. We have the important notion:
Definition 2.4. A self-similar solution under any of the mentioned symmetries is a solution which remains invariant under the corresponding transformation.

Then we have,
Proposition 2.3. A solution ( $p, q$ ) of the AKNS hierarchy is self-similar under the action of the vector field $X$ if and only if it satisfies the generalized string equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
X p+b p=0 \quad X q+b q=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that when $X=\gamma+\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \theta_{n} \partial_{n}$ one can perform the coordinate transformation $t_{n+1} \mapsto t_{n+1}+\theta_{n} /(n+1)$. Thus, the coefficient $\theta_{n}$ is equivalent to a shift in the time coordinate $t_{n+1}$.

Now, if $X=\varsigma+\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \theta_{n} \partial_{n}$ we can define the transformation $t_{n+1} \mapsto t_{n+1}+\theta_{n+1} /(b(n+$ 1)) and obtain in the new coordinates a vector field corresponding to scaling and a term of type $\theta_{0} \partial_{0}$. This last term can be understood as follows. Given a solution $(p, q)$ to the AKNS hierarchy then $\left(\exp \left(b\left(1+2 \theta_{0}\right)\right) \varsigma_{b}^{*} p,\left(\exp \left(b\left(1-2 \theta_{0}\right)\right) \varsigma_{b}^{*} q\right)\right.$ is a solution as well. So solutions self-similar under the vector field $X$ correspond in adequate coordinates, to self-similarity under this particular scaling, that we shall call $\left(1+2 \theta_{0}, 1-2 \theta_{0}\right)$ weighted scaling.

One has

Proposition 2.4. If ( $p, q$ ) is a solution to the AKNS hierarchy self-similar under the action of the vector field

$$
\gamma+\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \theta_{n} \partial_{n}
$$

then it is also self-similar under the action of the vector field

$$
\varsigma+\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \theta_{n} \partial_{n+1}-\left(\left.\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \theta_{n} h_{n+1}\right|_{t=0}\right) \partial_{0} .
$$

This proposition simply says that the $L_{-1}$-Virasoro constraint implies the $L_{0}$-Virasoro constraint.

If $a=b=0$ one is led to the translational self-similar solutions of the AKNS hierarchy, that is, the finite-gap solutions of the integrable equation in the spirit of Novikov. The solutions of that type can be constructed in terms of Riemann surfaces, in particular hyperelliptic curves, and the comesponding $\tau$ and Baker functions can be expressed in terms of theta functions of such curves (see [5, 6] for the akNS equation and [19] for the NLS equation). The Galilean self-similarity condition in the KdV case is considered by Novikov [17] as a quantized version of the finite-gap solutions.

In general the self-similarity condition can be reformulated as a zero-curvature-type condition. We define the outer derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta:=(a+b \lambda) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:=\langle\chi, X\rangle \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the standard pairing between 1 -forms and vector fields. Then one has [11]
Theorem 2.1. The zero-curvature-type condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{d}-\chi, \delta-M]=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent to the string equation (2.1).
All results regarding symmetries can be reduced to the NLS ${ }^{ \pm}$hierarchy with $\theta_{n}=\mathrm{i} \tilde{\theta}_{n}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{n}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

## 3. Grassmannians and the moduli space for the string equations

In this section we use the Grassmannian manifold $G r^{(2)}$ to describe the AKNS flows, and to characterize geometrically the string equations for the self-similar solutions of the AKNS hierarchy. This manifold appears when one considers the Birkhoff factorization problem.

Recall that $\chi$ defines a 1 -form with values in the loop algebra $L \mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{C})$ of smooth maps from the circle $S^{1}:=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}:|\lambda|=1\}$ to the simple Lie algebra $s I(2, \mathbb{C})$. We define an infinite set of commuting flows in the corresponding loop group $\operatorname{LSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$

$$
\psi(t, \lambda):=\exp (t(\lambda) H) \cdot g(\lambda)
$$

where $t(\lambda):=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \lambda^{n} t_{n}$ and $g$ is the initial condition. Denote by $L^{+} S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ those loops which have a holomorphic extension to the interior of $S^{1}[18]$, and by $L_{1}^{-} S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ those which extend analytically to the exterior of the circle and are normalized by the identity at $\infty$.

The Birkhoff factorization problem for a given $\psi(t)$ consists in finding the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\psi_{-}^{-1} \cdot \psi_{+} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{-} \in L_{1}^{-} S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $\psi_{+} \in L^{+} S L(2, \mathbb{C})$, and is connected with the AKNS hierarchy. The element $\psi_{-}$can be parametrized by functions $(p, q)$ in such a way that $\psi_{-}$is a solution to the factorization problem if and only if ( $p, q$ ) is a solution to the AKNS hierarchy [10].

One also has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi:=\mathrm{d} \psi_{+} \cdot \psi_{+}^{-1}=P_{+} \mathrm{Ad} \psi_{-}(H \mathrm{~d} t(\lambda)) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the zero-curvature 1 -form for the akNs hierarchy [10]. Here id $=P_{+}+P_{-}$is the resolution of the identity related to the splitting

$$
L \mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{C})=L^{+} \mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus L_{1}^{-} \mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{C})
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ad} \psi_{-} H=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \lambda^{-n} Q_{n} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can conclude from these considerations that the projection of the commuting flows $\psi(t)$ on the Grassmannian manifold [18, 22]

$$
L S L(2, \mathbb{C}) / L^{+} S L(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong G r^{(2)}
$$

can be described in terms of the AKNS hierarchy [10, 23].
The element $g$ determines a point in the Grassmannian manifold up to the gauge freedom $g \mapsto g \cdot h$, where $h \in L^{+} S L(2, \mathbb{C})$. A solution of the AKNS hierarchy does not change when $g(\lambda) \mapsto \exp (\beta(\lambda) H) \cdot g(\lambda)$ if $\exp (\beta H) \in L_{1}^{-} S L(2, \mathbb{C})$. We can say that the moduli space for the AKNS hierarchy contains the double co-set space

$$
\mathcal{M}:=\Gamma_{-} \backslash L S L(2, \mathbb{C}) / L^{+} S L(2, \mathbb{C})
$$

where $\Gamma_{-}$is the Abelian subgroup with Lie algebra $L_{1}^{-} \mathbb{C} y H$.
This makes a connection with the Grassmannian description for the AKNS hierarchy given in [5, 23]. The Baker function $w(\boldsymbol{t}) \in L S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ corresponds to

$$
w=\psi_{-} \cdot \exp (t H)=\psi_{\div} \cdot g^{-1}
$$

If we introduce the notation

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varphi_{1} & \tilde{\varphi}_{1} \\
\varphi_{2} & \tilde{\varphi}_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

then we have the associated subspace

$$
W=\mathbb{C}\left\{\lambda^{n}\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{2},-\tilde{\varphi}_{1}\right), \lambda^{n}\left(\varphi_{2},-\varphi_{1}\right)\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}
$$

with $\lambda W \subset W$, in the Grassmannian $G r^{(2)}[18,22]$. The Baker function is the unique function with its rows taking its values in $W$ such that $P_{+}(w \cdot \exp (-t H))=1$. Obviously we have

$$
\partial_{1} w=L_{1} w
$$

and also

$$
\partial_{n} w=L_{n} w
$$

The rows of the adjoint Baker function $w^{*}=\left(w^{-1}\right)^{t}$ are maps into the subspace

$$
W^{*}=\mathbb{C}\left\{\lambda^{n} \Phi, \lambda^{n} \tilde{\Phi}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0} \in G r^{(2)}
$$

where

$$
\Phi:=\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right) \quad \bar{\Phi}:=\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{1}, \tilde{\varphi}_{2}\right)
$$

We shall adopt this subspace as a representative of the co-set $g \cdot L^{+} S L(2, \mathbb{C})$.
Let us now try to find for which initial conditions $g$ one gets self-similar solutions, i.e. points in the Grassmannian that are connected to self-similar solutions of the AKNS hierarchy.

Recall that we have the derivation $\delta \in \operatorname{Der} L^{+} \mathfrak{g l}(2, \mathbb{C})$ defined in (2.2) and the vector $M(t) \in L^{+} \operatorname{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ defined in (2.3). One has [11]:

Theorem 3.1. If the initial condition $g$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta g \cdot g^{-1}+\operatorname{Ad} g K=(\theta+f) H \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $K \in L^{+} \mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and some $f \in L_{1}^{-} \mathbb{C}$, then the corresponding solution to the AKNS hierarchy satisfies the string equation (2.1).

Notice that the function $f$ can be transformed into

$$
f(\lambda) \mapsto f(\lambda)+(a+\lambda b) \frac{\mathrm{d} \beta}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}(\lambda)
$$

where $\beta \in L_{1}^{-} \mathbb{C}$. If $b \neq 0$ then one transforms $f \mapsto 0$, but when $b=0, a \neq 0$ one is only allowed to do $f \mapsto c \lambda^{-1}$; finally if $a=b=0$ we can not remove $f$.

The Sato Grassmannian [21] contains much more self-similar solutions than the SegalWilson one [22]. In fact, only the finite-gap solutions-pure translational self-similarityand the scaling self-similar rational solutions of Sachs [20] for the AKNS equation, and the corresponding Nakamura-Hirota solutions for NLs ${ }^{+}$equation [15], are found in this Grassmannian. Therefore, we shall consider the Sato Grassmannian $G r^{(2)}$. The statements above, which are rigorous in the Segal-Wilson case, can be extended to the Sato frame if the formal group $L_{1}^{-} S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ is considered only when acting by its adjoint action or by gauge transformations in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{C})\left[\left[\lambda^{-1}, \lambda\right]\right.$. In this context (2.4) and (3.4) still hold.

Notice that for each equivalence class in $\mathcal{M}$ an element $g$ can be taken such that $\ln g \in \mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{C})\left[\left[\lambda^{-1}\right)\right.$, and that any element in the co-set $g \cdot L^{+} S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ gives the same point in the Grassmannian. One has:

Theorem 3.2. The subspace

$$
W^{*}=\mathbb{C}\left\{\lambda^{n} \Phi, \lambda^{n} \tilde{\Phi}\right\}_{n \geqslant 0}
$$

with $\Phi(\lambda), \tilde{\Phi}(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$, corresponds to a self-similar solution of the AKNS hierarchy under the action of the vector field $X=a \gamma+b \varsigma+\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \theta_{n} \partial_{n}$, if $\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}$ have the asymptotic expansion
$\Phi(\lambda) \sim\left(1+\varphi_{11} \lambda^{-1}+\cdots, \quad \varphi_{21} \lambda^{-1}+\varphi_{22} \lambda^{-2}+\cdots\right) \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty$
$\tilde{\Phi}(\lambda) \sim\left(\tilde{\varphi}_{11} \lambda^{-1}+\tilde{\varphi}_{12} \lambda^{-2}+\cdots, \quad 1+\tilde{\varphi}_{21} \lambda^{-1}+\cdots\right) \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty$
and satisfy:
(i) When $b \neq 0$ the ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a+b \lambda) \frac{\mathrm{d} \Phi}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}+\left(\sum_{n, m \geqslant 0} \lambda^{n} \theta_{n+m} h_{m, 0}\right) \Phi+\left(\sum_{n, m \geqslant 0} \lambda^{n} \theta_{n+m} q_{m, 0}\right) \tilde{\Phi}=\theta(\lambda) \Phi H \\
& (a+b \lambda) \frac{\mathrm{d} \tilde{\Phi}}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}-\left(\sum_{n, m \geqslant 0} \lambda^{n} \theta_{n+m} h_{m, 0}\right) \tilde{\Phi}+\left(\sum_{n, m \geqslant 0} \lambda^{n} \theta_{n+m} p_{m, 0}\right) \Phi=\theta(\lambda) \tilde{\Phi} H .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) When $b=0, a \neq 0$ the ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \frac{\mathrm{~d} \Phi}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}+\left(\sum_{n, m \geqslant 0} \lambda^{n} \theta_{n+m} h_{m, 0}\right) \Phi+\left(\sum_{n, m \geqslant 0} \lambda^{n} \theta_{n+m} q_{m, 0}\right) \tilde{\Phi} \\
=\left(\theta(\lambda)-\lambda^{-1} \sum_{n \geqslant 0} \theta_{n} h_{n+1,0}\right) \Phi H \\
a \frac{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{\Phi}}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}-\left(\sum_{n, m \geqslant 0} \lambda^{n} \theta_{n+m} h_{m, 0}\right) \tilde{\Phi}+\left(\sum_{n, m \geqslant 0} \lambda^{n} \theta_{n+m} p_{m, 0}\right) \Phi \\
=\left(\theta(\lambda)-\lambda^{-1} \sum_{n \geqslant 0} \theta_{n} h_{n+1,0}\right) \tilde{\Phi} H .
\end{gathered}
$$

(iii) When $a=b=0$ the algebraic relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{n, m \geqslant 0} \lambda^{n} \theta_{n+m} h_{m, 0}\right) \Phi+\left(\sum_{n, m \geqslant 0} \lambda^{n} \theta_{n+m} q_{m, 0}\right) \tilde{\Phi}=(\theta(\lambda)+f(\lambda)) \Phi H \\
& -\left(\sum_{n, m \geqslant 0} \lambda^{n} \theta_{n+m} h_{m, 0}\right) \tilde{\Phi}+\left(\sum_{n, m \geqslant 0} \lambda^{n} \theta_{n+m} p_{m, 0}\right) \Phi=(\theta(\lambda)+f(\lambda)) \tilde{\Phi} H
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda)=\sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \theta_{n} L_{n, 0}(\lambda)\right)}-\theta(\lambda)=\sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(\sum_{n>0 m \geqslant 0} \theta_{m} Q_{n+m, 0} \lambda^{-n}\right)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the asymptotic expansion

$$
f(\lambda) \sim \sum_{n>0} f_{n} \lambda^{-n} \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty
$$

with the recursion relation

$$
f_{n}=-\sum_{m=1}^{n-2} h_{n-m, 0} f_{m}-\sum_{m \geqslant 0} \theta_{m} h_{n+m, 0}
$$

Here we denote $F_{0}=\left.F\right|_{t=0}$.
Proof. As in [11] we have

$$
K=\left\langle\left.\chi\right|_{t=0}, \vartheta\right\rangle
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left.\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \theta_{n} L_{n}\right|_{t=0}=\operatorname{Adg}^{-1}(\theta H)-P_{-} \mathrm{Adg}^{-1} \theta H \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used $\left(\left.\psi_{-}\right|_{t=0}\right)^{-1}=g$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ad} g K=\theta H-\operatorname{Ad} g P_{-} \mathrm{Ad}^{-1} \theta H \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $b \neq 0$ we can remove the function $f$, and from (3.4) one gets the desired result. When $b=0, a \neq 0$ we have a contribution from $f$ of type $c \lambda^{-1}$. This can be handled as follows. With the aid of (3.7) the equation (3.4) can be written as

$$
a \frac{\mathrm{~d} g}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda} \cdot g^{-1}-\mathrm{Ad} g P \_\mathrm{Ad} g^{-1} \theta H=c \lambda^{-1} H
$$

Now, because the residue at $\lambda=0$ of the first term on the left-hand side of the equation above vanishes we have

$$
-\mathrm{res}_{\lambda=0} \mathrm{Adg}^{-1} \theta H=c H
$$

or

$$
-\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \theta_{n} Q_{n+1,0}=c H
$$

thus

$$
c=-\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \theta_{n} h_{n+1,0} .
$$

When $a=b=0$ equations (3.4) and (3.6) imply the form of $f$ in the first equality of (3.5), the second expression follows from (3.7) and (3.3). With this the proof is completed.

This theorem provides us with a parametrization of the moduli space of self-similar solutions of the AKNS hierarchy under the action of the vector field $X$ in terms of initial conditions for the zero-curvature 1 -form $\chi$. Notice that the equation characterizing $g$ depends on $K=\left.\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \theta_{n} L_{n}\right|_{t=0}$. Thus, if $\theta$ is a polynomial of degree $N$ the matrix $K$ depends on $3 N$ constants $\left\{p_{n}, q_{n}, h_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{N}$, but the $h_{n}$ can be expressed as polynomials of $\left\{p_{m}, q_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{n-1}$. When $a$ or $b$ do not vanish we have an inclusion of this $2 N$-dimensional algebraic variety into the Sato Grassmannian, but one of the parameters can be supressed because the freedom $(p, q) \mapsto\left(\mathrm{e}^{c} p, \mathrm{e}^{-c} q\right)$. Thus, there is an inclusion of a $(2 N-1)$ dimensional algebraic variety into the Sato Grassmannian providing us with a description of the moduli space. When $a=b=0$ one has the additional dependence on $f$ which is a function of $K$ only, and therefore one has an inclusion of that algebraic variety into the Segal-Wilson Grassmannian, the finite-gap solutions associated with hyperelliptic curves.

## 4. Examples

We give in this section a concrete analysis of the odes characterizing the points in the Grassmannian associated with self-similar solutions. We start with the Galilean case and then we study the weighted-scaling case. For the Galilean case we see that the points corresponding to self-similar solutions can be expressed in terms of Gaussian and Weber's parabolic cylinder functions, and that they never belong to the Segal-Wilson Grassmannian but to the Sato Grassmannian. In the weighted-scaling case we find that the points in the Grassmannian can be constructed with the aid of Tricomi-Kummer's confluent hypergeometric functions. We see that for certain cases, when the rows of $g$ are Laurent polynomials of different degrees and therefore define points in the Segal-Wilson Grassmannian, these points are associated to the rational solutions of the AKNS equation found in [20] and to the corresponding rational solutions of the NLS ${ }^{+}$equation of [15].

### 4.1. Galilean self-similarity

We are going to consider the string equation defined by the vector field $X=\gamma+\theta_{1} \partial_{1}$. As we have already discussed this corresponds to self-similar solutions under the Galilean symmetry in the shifted coordinates $t_{2} \mapsto t_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \theta_{1}$ and $t_{n} \mapsto t_{n}$ for $n \neq 2$. This shift allows us to avoid the singularities of the solution at $t_{2}=0$.

The form of the initial condition is

$$
g=\mathrm{id}+\lambda^{-1} X_{1}+\cdots
$$

which corresponds to a self-similar solution under the vector field $X$ if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} g}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}+g \theta_{1}\left(p_{0} E+\lambda H+q_{0} F\right)=\theta_{1}\left(\lambda+\frac{p_{0} q_{0}}{2} \lambda^{-1}\right) H g \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

that for $X_{n}$ reads

$$
-\left(n+\theta_{1} \frac{p_{0} q_{0}}{2} H\right) X_{n}+\theta_{1} X_{n+1}\left(p_{0} E+q_{0} F\right)=\theta_{1}\left[H, X_{n+2}\right]
$$

If we introduce the notation

$$
X_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{n} & X_{n}^{+}  \tag{4.9}\\
X_{n}^{-} & B_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

it results

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{n+2}^{+}=-\frac{1}{2 \theta_{1}} \frac{\left(n+\frac{1}{2} \theta_{1} p_{0} q_{0}\right)\left(n+1+\frac{1}{2} \theta_{1} p_{0} q_{0}\right)}{n+1} X_{n}^{+} \\
& X_{n+2}^{-}=\frac{1}{2 \theta_{1}} \frac{\left(n-\frac{1}{2} \theta_{1} p_{0} q_{0}\right)\left(n+1-\frac{1}{2} \theta_{1} p_{0} q_{0}\right)}{n+1} X_{n}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
A_{n}=\frac{\theta_{1} q_{0}}{n+\frac{1}{2} \theta_{1} p_{0} q_{0}} X_{n}^{+} \quad B_{n}=\frac{\theta_{1} p_{0}}{n-\frac{1}{2} \theta_{1} p_{0} q_{0}} X_{n}^{-}
$$

that, together with $X_{1}^{+}=\frac{1}{2} p_{0}$ and $X_{1}^{-}=-\frac{1}{2} q_{0}$, gives us the matrix $g$. Observe that $X_{2 n}^{+}=X_{2 n}^{-}=0$ and $A_{2 n+1}=B_{2 n+1}=0$. The expansion never converges; we can choose $p_{0} q_{0}$ such that the first row of $g$ is polynomial in $\lambda^{-1}$ but the the second row does not converge. We conclude that this solution belongs to the Sato Grassmannian and not to the Segal-Wilson one.

Now, writing

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & X^{+}  \tag{4.10}\\
X^{-} & B
\end{array}\right)
$$

equation (4.8) for $A, B$ reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} A}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda^{2}}-2 \theta_{1} \lambda^{2}\left(\lambda+\frac{p_{0} q_{0}}{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} A}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}+\frac{\theta_{1}}{2} p_{0} q_{0}\left(1+\frac{\theta_{1}}{2} p_{0} q_{0}\right) A=0  \tag{4.11}\\
& \lambda^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} A}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda^{2}}+2 \theta_{1} \lambda^{2}\left(\lambda+\frac{p_{0} q_{0}}{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} A}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}-\frac{\theta_{1}}{2} p_{0} q_{0}\left(1-\frac{\theta_{1}}{2} p_{0} q_{0}\right) A=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $X^{+}, X^{-}$gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{+}=-\frac{1}{\theta_{1} q_{0}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} A}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}-\frac{\theta_{1}}{2} p_{0} q_{0} \lambda^{-1} A\right)  \tag{4.13}\\
& X^{-}=-\frac{1}{\theta_{1} p_{0}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} B}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}+\frac{\theta_{1}}{2} p_{0} q_{0} \lambda^{-1} B\right) . \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (4.11) and (4.12) can be transformed into confluent hypergeometric equations. Recall that the Tricomi-Kummer's confluent hypergeometric function $U(a, c, z)$ [13] is a solution of

$$
z \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} U}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}}+(c-z) \frac{\mathrm{d} U}{\mathrm{~d} z}-a U=0
$$

and has the asymptotic expansion [13]
$U(a, c, z) \sim z^{-a} \sum_{n \geqslant 0}(-1)^{n} \frac{(a)_{n}(a+1-c)_{n}}{n!} z^{-n} \quad z \rightarrow \infty \quad-\frac{3}{2} \pi<\arg z<\frac{3}{2} \pi$
where $(\alpha)_{n}=\Gamma(\alpha+n) / \Gamma(n)$. One can show that

$$
A(\lambda)=\left(\theta_{1} \lambda^{2}\right)^{\mu} U\left(\frac{1}{2} \mu, \frac{1}{2}, \theta_{1} \lambda^{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\mu:=\frac{1}{2} \theta_{1} p_{0} q_{0}
$$

Thus,

$$
A(\lambda) \sim \sum_{n \geqslant 0}(-1)^{n} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} \mu\right)_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\mu+1)\right)_{n}}{n!}\left(\theta_{1} \lambda^{2}\right)^{-n} \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty .
$$

For $B$ one only needs to replace in the expression for $A$ the parameters $\theta_{1} \mapsto-\theta_{1}$ and $\mu \mapsto-\mu$. Hence

$$
B(\lambda) \sim \sum_{n \geqslant 0} \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mu\right)_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}(-\mu+1)\right)_{n}}{n!}\left(\theta_{1} \lambda^{2}\right)^{-n} \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty
$$

From (4.13) and (4.14) one gets the corresponding asymptotic expansions for $X^{+}, X^{-}$. In terms of the Weber's parabolic cylinder functions [13] one has for example

$$
A(\lambda)=2^{-\frac{1}{2} \mu}\left(\sqrt{2 \theta_{1}} \lambda\right)^{2 \mu} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \theta_{1} \lambda^{2}\right) D_{-\mu}\left(\sqrt{2 \theta_{1}} \lambda\right)
$$

and an analogous expression for $B$ is obtained once $\theta_{1}$ and $\mu$ are multiplied by -1 . As we have remarked before, the Galilean self-similar solutions are always associated to subspaces in the Sato Grassmannian which never belongs to the Segal-Wilson Grassmannian.

For the $\mathrm{NL} \mathrm{s}^{ \pm}$reduction we need $q=\mp p^{*}$, therefore

$$
\mathcal{J}^{ \pm} g\left(\lambda^{*}\right)^{\dagger} \mathcal{J}^{ \pm}=g(\lambda)^{-1}
$$

where $\mathcal{J}^{+}=$id and $\mathcal{J}^{-}=H$. Taking into account (4.11)-(4.14) this is fulfilled when $\theta_{n}=\mathrm{i} \tilde{\theta}_{n}, \tilde{\theta}_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$, the initial condition $q_{0}=\mp p_{0}^{*}$ and $A\left(\lambda^{*}\right)^{*}=\boldsymbol{B}(\lambda)$. Therefore, $\mu=\mp \mathrm{i} \tilde{\theta}_{1} / 2\left|p_{0}\right|^{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

### 4.2. Scaling self-similarity

We are going now to consider the string equation corresponding to the vector field $X=\varsigma+\theta_{0} \partial_{0}+\theta_{1} \partial_{1}$. As we have already discussed this corresponds to self-similar solutions under a $\left(1+2 \theta_{0}, 1-2 \theta_{0}\right)$ weighted scaling in the shifted coordinates $t_{1} \mapsto t_{1}+\theta_{1}$ and $t_{n} \mapsto t_{n}$ for $n>1$. This last shift allows us to avoid possible singularities of the solution at $t_{1}=0$.

Let

$$
g=\mathrm{id}+\lambda^{-1} X_{1}+\cdots
$$

be the initial condition for the commuting flows $\psi(t)$. In order to have self-similar solutions under the vector field $X$, it must satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \frac{\mathrm{d} g}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}+g\left(\theta_{1} p_{0} E+\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} \lambda\right) H+\theta_{1} q_{0} F\right)=\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} \lambda\right) H g \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies for the matrix coefficients $X_{n}$ of the Laurent expansion of $g$

$$
-n X_{n}-\theta_{0}\left[H, X_{n}\right]+\theta_{1} X_{n}\left(p_{0} E+q_{0} F\right)=\theta_{1}\left[H, X_{n+1}\right]
$$

With the use of (4.9) one finds the recurrence laws
$X_{n+1}^{+}=\frac{1}{2 \theta_{1}}\left(-n-2 \theta_{0}+\frac{\theta_{1}^{2} p_{0} q_{0}}{n}\right) X_{n}^{+} \quad X_{n+1}^{-}=-\frac{1}{2 \theta_{1}}\left(-n+2 \theta_{0}+\frac{\theta_{1}^{2} p_{0} q_{0}}{n}\right) X_{n}^{-}$
and

$$
A_{n}=\frac{\theta_{1} q_{0}}{n} X_{n}^{+} \quad B_{n}=\frac{\theta_{1} p_{0}}{n} X_{n}^{-}
$$

that together with $X_{1}^{+}=\frac{1}{2} p_{0}$ and $X_{1}^{-}=-\frac{1}{2} q_{0}$ give us the matrix $g$. There are cases for which this expansion is a polynomial in $\lambda^{-1}$ and represents therefore not only an asymptotic expansion but also a well defined function. We require

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1}^{2} p_{0} q_{0}=\left(N^{+}+2 \theta_{0}\right) N^{+}=\left(N^{-} 2 \theta_{0}\right) N^{-} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $N^{ \pm} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, so that

$$
X_{n}^{+}, A_{n}=0 \quad n>N^{+}
$$

and

$$
X_{n}^{-}, B_{n}=0 \quad n>N^{-}
$$

Hence, we get a polynomial $g$ in $\lambda^{-1}$ of degree $N^{+}$in the first row and degree $N^{-}$in the second one. Equations (4.16) imply

$$
2 \theta_{0}=N^{-} N^{+} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \theta_{1}^{2} p_{0} q_{0}=N^{+} N^{-} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}
$$

This gives points in Segal-Wilson Grassmannian associated with solutions of the AKNS hierarchy ( $p, q$ ) which are self-similar under the ( $1+N^{+}-N^{-}, 1-N^{+}+N^{-}$) weighted scaling symmetry.

Using (4.10), equation (4.15) for $A, B$ reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} A}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda^{2}}+\left(\left(1-2 \theta_{0}\right) \lambda-2 \theta_{1} \lambda^{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} A}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}-\theta_{1}^{2} p_{0} q_{0} A=0  \tag{4.17}\\
& \lambda^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} B}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda^{2}}+\left(\left(1+2 \theta_{0}\right) \lambda+2 \theta_{1} \lambda^{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} B}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}-\theta_{1}^{2} p_{0} q_{0} B=0 \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $X^{+}, X^{-}$we obtain the expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{+}=-\frac{\lambda}{\theta_{1} q_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} A}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}  \tag{4.19}\\
& X^{-}=-\frac{\lambda}{\theta_{1} p_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} B}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda} . \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (4.17) and (4.18) are equivalent to confluent hypergeometric equations. Consider the roots $\left(\mu_{+}, \mu_{-}\right)$of

$$
\mu^{2}-2 \theta_{0} \mu-\theta_{1}^{2} p_{0} q_{0}=0
$$

we get for $\theta_{0}$ the value

$$
2 \theta_{0}=\mu_{+}+\mu_{-} \quad \mu_{+} \mu_{-}=-\theta_{1}^{2} p_{0} q_{0}
$$

If we define

$$
A(\lambda)=\lambda^{\mu_{+}} U\left(2 \theta_{1} \lambda\right)
$$

then $U(z)$ satisfies

$$
z \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} U}{\mathrm{~d} z^{2}}+\left(1+\mu_{+}-\mu_{-} z\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} U}{\mathrm{~d} z}-\mu_{+} U=0
$$

thus we are dealing with the Tricomi-Kummer's confluent hypergeometric function $U(a, c, z)$ with $a=\mu_{+}$and $c=1+\mu_{+}-\mu_{-}$, and we deduce for $A(\lambda)$ the behaviour

$$
A(\lambda) \sim \sum_{n \geqslant 0}(-1)^{n} \frac{\left(\mu_{+}\right)_{n}\left(\mu_{-}\right)_{n}}{n!}\left(2 \theta_{1} \lambda\right)^{-n} \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty .
$$

For $B$ the analysis is the same; we only need to replace $2 \theta_{0}$ and $2 \theta_{1}$ by $-2 \theta_{0}$ and $-2 \theta_{1}$, respectively, in the formulae above. So the asymptotic expansion for $B$ is

$$
B(\lambda) \sim \sum_{n \geqslant 0} \frac{\left(-\mu_{+}\right)_{n}\left(-\mu_{-}\right)_{n}}{n!}\left(2 \theta_{1} \lambda\right)^{-n} \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty
$$

From the formulae (4.19) and (4.20) we obtain the asymptotic expansions for $\mathrm{X}^{+}$and $\mathrm{X}^{-}$. We have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X^{+}(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{\theta_{1} q_{0}} \sum_{n \geqslant 1}(-1)^{n} \frac{\left(\mu_{+}\right)_{n}\left(\mu_{-}\right)_{n}}{(n-1)!}\left(2 \theta_{1} \lambda\right)^{-n} & \lambda \rightarrow \infty \\
X^{-}(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{\theta_{1} p_{0}} \sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{\left(-\mu_{+}\right)_{n}\left(-\mu_{-}\right)_{n}}{(n-1)!}\left(2 \theta_{1} \lambda\right)^{-n} \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty .
\end{array}
$$

Let us notice that when $\mu_{+}+\mu_{-}=0$ the function $U$ can be expressed in terms of the Macdonalds-Basset function [13]; for example, if $z=2 \theta_{1} \lambda$, we have

$$
A(\lambda)=\left(1+\mu_{+}-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right) \sqrt{\frac{z}{\pi}} \exp \left(\frac{z}{2}\right) K_{\mu_{+}-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) .
$$

For the $\mathrm{NLS}^{ \pm}$reduction we need that $\mu_{+}, \mu_{-}$be solutions of

$$
\mu^{2}-2 \mathrm{i} \tilde{\theta}_{0} \pm\left|\tilde{\theta}_{1} p_{0}\right|^{2}=0
$$

In the polynomial case of the AKNS hierarchy we must have (or the other way around)

$$
\mu_{+}=-N^{+} \quad \mu_{-}=N^{-}
$$

Again, from the asymptotic expansions, we see that $A, X^{+}$and $B, X^{-}$are polynomials in $\lambda^{-1}$ of degree $N^{+}$and $N^{-}$, respectively. The solutions in the polynomial case are the rational solutions of the AKNS hierarchy appearing in [20]. To connect with the notation of that paper we notice that $1+p-q=N^{+}-N^{-}$and that $p=N^{+} N^{-}$, where $p, q$ are the degree of the polynomials corresponding to the tau functions $\sigma, \tau$ for the AKNS hierarchy defined in that paper. This implies that $q=\left(N^{+}-1\right)\left(N^{-}+1\right)$, and so $n-k=N^{+}$and $k+1=N^{-}$or vice versa $\left(n+1=N^{+}+N^{-}\right)$, where $n, k$ are those of [20].

One can easily see that the polynomial case described above is the only case for which the asymptotic series converges and defines a function in a neighbourhood of $\lambda=\infty$. Therefore, they are the only points in the Segal-Wilson Grassmannian corresponding to weighted-scaling self-similar solutions, generically we have points in the Sato Grassmannian. Observe that for the $\mathrm{NLS}^{ \pm}$hierarchies one arrives at the condition $2 \theta_{0}=N^{-} N^{+}$with $\theta_{0} \in i \mathbb{R}$, so $\theta_{0}=0$. Then $\mu_{ \pm}= \pm\left|\tilde{\theta}_{1} p_{0}\right|$ in the NLS ${ }^{+}$case and $\mu_{ \pm}= \pm i\left|\tilde{\theta}_{1} p_{0}\right|$ for the NLS ${ }^{-}$case. So that none of the Sachs rational solutions for the AKNS system reduces to the NLS- equation, furthermore it is known that this equation does not have rational solutions. Only for the $\mathrm{NLS}^{+}$hierarchy do we have points in the Segal-Wilson Grassmannian corresponding to the reduced Sachs solutions, the Nakamura-Hirota rational solutions for $\mathrm{NLS}^{+}$equation [15]. Now, $N^{+}=N^{-}$and $n=2 k+1$. Notice that in [15] it is considered that not only $n=2 k$, when they analyse the Boussinesq system, as was claimed in [20], but also $n=2 k+1$, when they studied the $\mathrm{NLS}{ }^{+}$equation.

Summarizing, for the Segal-Wilson case we have
Proposition 4.1. The ( $n, k$ ) rational solution for the AKNS hierarchy found in [20] corresponds to the point in the Segal-Wilson Grassmannian associated to the co-set $g \cdot L^{+} S L(2, \mathbb{C})$, where $g \in L_{1}^{-} S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ is given by the following Laurent polynomial
$g\left(\lambda / 2 \theta_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\sum_{n=0}^{N^{+}} \frac{\left(-N^{+}\right)_{n}\left(N^{-}\right)_{n}}{n!}(-\lambda)^{-n} & \frac{1}{q_{0}} \sum_{n=1}^{N^{+}} \frac{\left(-N^{+}\right)_{n}\left(N^{-}\right)_{n}}{n-\lambda)^{-n}}(n-1)! \\ \frac{1}{p_{0}} \sum_{n=1}^{N^{-}} \frac{\left.\left(N^{+}\right)_{n}(-N)^{-}\right)}{(n-1)!}(\lambda)^{-n} & \sum_{n=0}^{N^{-}} \frac{\left(N^{+}\right)_{n}\left(-N^{-}\right)_{n}}{n!}(\lambda)^{-n}\end{array}\right)$
where $n+1=N^{+}+N^{-}$and $k+1=N^{-}$. These are the only weighted-scaling self-similar solutions with a corresponding point in the Segal-Wilson Grassmannian. None of these reduce to the $\mathrm{NLS}^{-}$hierarchy and only when $N^{+}=N^{-}(n=2 k+1),-p_{0}^{*}=q_{0}$ they reduce to solutions of the $\mathrm{NLS}^{+}$hierarchy.
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